

661 W. Thompson PO Box 1238 Decatur, TX 76234

Phone: 940.627.8767 Fax: 940.627.6886 Webaite: www.northbbank.com

September 20, 2006

Mr. Robert E. Feldman
Executive Secretary
Attention: Comments
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 Seventeenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 29429

RIN 3064-AD09; Proposal to Amend Regulations for Risk-Based Premiums; 71 Federal Register 41910; July 24, 2006

Dear Mr. Feldman

sound operations among de novo banks, and would discourage chartering of new banks in the future. assignment of all banks that are in their first seven years of operation ("de nove" banks) to the top are well capitalized and well managed. I am particularly concerned about one aspect of the proposal: provision because it fails to consider the scrutiny of de now banks by examiners, does not encourage risk rating within the category of well capitalized and well managed banks. I disagree with this amend its regulations on risk-based assessments by creating a new risk scoring system for banks that The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) has issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to

shareholders and supervisors. We deserve to be rated based on our performance, rather than a categorization that is out of our control. bank prides itself on delivering top performance for all constituencies, including customers, deposit insurance premiums commensurate with the soundness of the bank can be assessed. The our community. I unequivocally welcome the FDIC's evaluation of the bank's performance so that My bank, North Texas Bank, N.A., was chartered in 2004. It is a young and dynamic competitor in

the system is missing something and needs to be fixed. earnings, and a good examiner rating warrants a lower premium. I agree, and my bank is prepared to stipulates that a bank with strong capital, a healthy loan portfolio, few volatile liabilities, decent be judged by this test. To arbitrarily ignore the system's results based on a bank's age suggests that De now banks like ours do not warrant separate treatment by the FDIC. The FDIC risk rating system

young bank has to prove itself to examiners; our financial results are put under very close inspection older banks because de now banks are examined more frequently and closely than other banks. A On the contrary, the financial statements of de now banks are generally more reliable than those of information for newer institutions tends to be harder to interpret and less meaningful" (page 41927). The proposal defends ignoring the financial performance of de mon banks' by stating that "financial

penalizes these banks. There is no justification for additional penalty. right to be measured by that system. The examiner prejudice inherent in CAMELS ratings already now bank gets a rating of II (or better) so that it qualifies for the risk rating system, it has earned the Due to this examiner bias, it is very difficult for a young bank to get a good CAMELS rating. If a de

More importantly, the proposed treatment penalizes all de mom banks, not just the underperformers. Instead, the FDIC should encourage safe and sound bank operations by rewarding good management practices with lower premiums, regardless of the age of the bank.

not one of them has failed. ever sense its 16th month in existence. Over 900 banks were chartered in the last seven years, and performance faster than in the past, our bank is no exception to this, having achieved profitability banking firms. It is not surprising that today's de now banks achieve profitability and mature many, following the 1994 federal interstate banking legislation, were chartered by long-seasoned acquisitions of their former institutions. North Texas Bank, N.A. is consistent with that model. And bankers in markets where they had operated for years, bankers who became available following and does not relate to today's de 11010 banks. Many of the de 11010 banks were chartered by experienced The proposal defends disparate treatment for *de novo* banks by citing past data that "new institutions have a higher failure rate than established institutions" (page 41927). This evidence is out of date

chartering of new banks in the future. banks. Both of these considerations would present challenges to younger banks and deter the of condition, to pay higher premiums would put them at a competitive disadvantage relative to older safe, confidence in all de now banks will be undermined. Moreover, requiring de now banks, regardless the public is told that the FDIC believes that all banks chartered within the least seven years are less Finally, there are important public policy reasons not to apply separate treatment to de now banks. If

upon the bank's performance and regulatory CAMELS rating. requiring them to be in existence 7 years before allowing their assessment fee to be calculated based reconsider this proposal and not negatively impact de now banks such as North Texas Bank, N.A. by Thank you for the opportunity to provide input on this issue and I hope that you will seriously

Sincerely,

Rick Cooper, CFO North Texas Bank, N.A